

Docket No.: 245671US90

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELIAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/722,476

Applicants: Hisaya SUZUKI, et al. Filing Date: November 28, 2003

For: OUTER MIRRORS Group Art Unit: 2872 Examiner: JUBA JR, J.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICITON REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Masayasu Mori

Registration No. 47,301

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) H:\24\$\245671\\$HORT\_CVR\_REST.DOC



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

HISAYA SUZUKI, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: JUBA JR, J.

SERIAL NO: 10/722,476

:

FILED: NOVEMBER 28, 2003

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2872

FOR: OUTER MIRRORS

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICITON REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction Requirement stated in the outstanding Official Action dated February 11, 2005, applicants provisionally elect Invention V, Claims 3-11, drawn to two auxiliary mirrors located on a mirror housing and oriented downward, classified in class 359, subclass 850.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Restriction requirement for the following reason.

MPEP § 803 states:

... If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to distinct of independent inventions.

The claims of the present invention would appear to be a part of an overlapping search area. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully traverse the outstanding Restriction requirement on the grounds that a search and examination of the entire application would not place a *serious* burden on the Examiner.

Application No. 10/722,476 Reply to Office Action of February 11, 2005 Response to Restriction Requirement

Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that full examination on the merits be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

Masayasu Mori

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 47,301

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 06/04)

ММ/јм

I:\USER\MSMOR\MURAKAMI\245671\245671\_RESTRICTION.DOC